INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, packaging is one of the most interesting research fields in the food industries [1, 2]. Plastic material with high gas and solvent barrier properties is found to be highly advantageous compared to metal and glass alternatives for use in applications such as packaging and gasoline tanks [3, 4]. The main properties required for a suitable packing are oxygen permeability, clarity, suitable mechanical properties, processability, recyclability and low cost of production [5, 6]. One of the most important requirements is low permeability to gases and hydrocarbons [7-9]. Beside the permeability, the mechanical properties should also meet product specifications. Generally, one polymeric material cannot alone offer all the properties required, therefore, a combination of polymers is required. For this purpose, the multi-layer packaging is suggested [5, 6]. This kind
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, packaging is one of the most interesting research fields in the food industries [1, 2]. Plastic material with high gas and solvent barrier properties is found to be highly advantageous compared to metal and glass alternatives for use in applications such as packaging and gasoline tanks [3, 4]. The main properties required for a suitable packing are oxygen permeability, clarity, suitable mechanical properties, processability, recyclability and low cost of production [5, 6]. One of the most important requirements is low permeability to gases and hydrocarbons [7-9]. Beside the permeability, the mechanical properties should also meet product specifications. Generally, one polymeric material cannot alone offer all the properties required, therefore, a combination of polymers is required. For this purpose, the multi-layer packaging is suggested [5, 6]. This kind
of packaging, however, requires quite expensive multi devices and processing. In addition, recycling of final product is difficult as well as the clarity of product could not be retained [10, 11]. Then, in order to eliminate such troubles, compounding is suggested as a possible alternative. Compounding is a cost-effective method which utilizes different properties of two or more polymers, simultaneously [12-14].

Polyethylene owing to its excellent properties such as easy processing, high toughness in low temperatures, reasonable price, good electrical resistance, and excellent humidity barrier, is one of the best choices for food packaging. High permeability to gases such as O₂ and CO₂ is one of the most important weaknesses of polyethylene usage in packaging [15, 16].

On the other hand, ethylene vinyl alcohol random copolymer as a semi-crystalline polymer with excellent resistance against solvents, aromatics, and gases [11, 17] has so many applications [18-20]. Low permeation in this polymer is a result of strong intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions [11, 21]. Its high moisture absorption and low resistance against permeation of moisture are undesirable in food packaging industry and attenuate its barrier properties against oxygen penetration [22]. Water molecules act as a softener and weaken hydrogen bonding, resulting in a reduction in the barrier properties [3]. Beside of the mentioned problem, EVOH is brittle in nature and quite expensive, so blending of this polymer with polyethylene is the best choice to overcome the mentioned polymer’s problems [23, 24].

Most commercial EVOH materials contain 55 to 75 mole percent vinyl alcohol, which is a polar component with effective gas barrier performance. This component is not compatible with polyethylene (a nonpolar polymer) [25]. Incompatibility between these two polymers leads to formation of the morphologically unstable and brittle blends. For compatibilizing two immiscible polymers in a blend, using a compatibilizer is a common practice[11, 25]. However, it should be noted that the use of a proper amount of compatibilizer and applying a suitable process for polymer blending are critical factors affecting on the properties of final products [26, 27]. For example, the presence of an extra quantity of compatibilizer could lead to a complete distribution of oxygen-barrier polymer and loss of vital oxygen permeability resistance in a EVOH/polyethylene blend. Therefore, the amount of the compatibilizer has to be fine-tuned to achieve planar morphology of dispersing phase of EVOH in polyethylene as the continuous phase [28].

Different kinds of compatibilizers such as ionomer [29], high-density polyethylene (HDPE)-g-MA [30] [30-33], and hydrogenated and maleic anhydride-grafted SEBS (SEBS-g-MA) [31] have been used by researchers for the preparation of polyolefin/ EVOH blends. Functionalization of the polymeric phases is another method [31, 34] applied to increase the compatibility of these phases. The results of these studies showed that the use of compatibilizer or functionalization improved the compatibility of two phases and led to achieve better barrier and mechanical properties.

In this study, packaging films with high oxygen barrier properties were prepared based on LDPE/ EVOH/ LDPE-g-MA blends. The main objective of this work was to evaluate the effect of changing composition of different phases on the properties of the final product. The content of each phase including EVOH (10-30 wt%) and the compatibilizer (0-10 wt%) was changed in three levels in the LDPE matrix. Mechanical, permeability and morphological properties were investigated. Since the melt rheology affected the final morphology of the blends, the rheological properties were studied profoundly.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

EVOH grade DC3203RB (32 mol % ethylene content) was purchased from Soarnol, UK with a melt flow index (MFI) of 3.8 g/10 min (2.16 kg, 210°C) and density of 1.19 g/ cm³. The LDPE 0020 with an MFI of 2 g/10 min (2.16 kg, 190°C) and density of 0.920 g/ cm³ was purchased from Bandar Imam Petrochemical Complex, Iran. LDPE-g-MA (1.5 wt% maleic anhydride grafted) with an MFI of 1.2 g/10 min (2.16 kg, 190°C) and density of 0.923 g/cm³ was obtained from Karangin Co., Iran.

Sample preparation

Samples were prepared by melt blending process us-
ing a twin-screw extruder (Brabender, Germany, L/D=40) with a temperature range of 180-220°C at 100 rpm. The extruder heating zones were set for 180, 190, 200, 210, 215 and 220°C. Initially, an LDPE/LDPE-g-MA blend having 20 wt% of LDPE-g-MA was compounded. Then, concerning the composition of each sample, final samples were provided via melt blending. The composition of different samples is shown in Table 1.

Finally, film blowing technique by using a single screw extrusion system (Brabender, Germany, L/D=26) operated at the temperature range of 180-220°C and 100 rpm using a 20 mm die diameter was employed for production of each sample film specimen. The final films prepared by the blowing process were 20 cm in width and about 100±5 μm in thickness.

**Characterization**

The morphological study of each specimen was done with a VEGA model SEM apparatus (Tescan, Czech Republic). Dimethyl sulfoxide was used as a solvent for extraction of EVOH from blends. The samples were etched for 4 to 6 h at 50°C and dried for 24 h at 50°C. The samples were then freeze-fractured in liquid nitrogen, gold-sputtered, and their cross-section was used for SEM studies. Using a GDP-C gas permeability tester (Coesfeld Meteriatest, Germany), the films’ OTR was tested according to ASTM D3985. The diameter of round samples prepared for oxygen permeability test was 150 mm and the average thickness of each sample was 100±5 μm. The test was performed in triplicate and at a temperature of 23°C and relative humidity of 50%. An SFM 500 tensile machine (Santam, Iran) was used to study the mechanical properties. The dimensions of the specimens for the tensile test were 10×60 mm according to ASTM D882. The rheology tests were performed at T=200°C under a nitrogen atmosphere. Dynamic melt rheological experiments were conducted in a modular compact rheometer (MCR 300) from Physica in parallel plates (25 mm diameter, 1 mm gap) mode. At first, strain sweep test was carried out to find the linear region of the samples at 200°C on sample 9. This sample was selected because it had the maximum amount of EVOH and LDPE-g-MA among the samples and displayed the maximum nonlinearity. The sample revealed a linear behavior until 15% strain. Dynamic melt rheological properties including complex dynamic viscosity, G’, and G” were measured with a frequency sweep test between 0.02 to 600 rad/s at 5% strain to ensure being in the linear viscoelastic region.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Morphological study**

Morphology of the blends was studied by SEM test. The SEM micrographs of the specimens numbered 2, 4, 6, and 8 are shown in Figure 1.

Samples 4 and 6 were chosen since they had the same amount of EVOH (20 wt%) but different amounts of the compatibilizer. Also, samples 2 and 8 had the same amount of LDPE-g-MA (5 wt%) but different amounts of the EVOH. So, the effect of the presence and the level of compatibilizer and EVOH on the dispersion of EVOH phase in the matrix could be evaluated easily.

SEM images (b and c) in Figure 1 indicate that the presence of excessive compatibilizer leads to the unnecessary dispersion of EVOH in the LDPE matrix. The aforesaid effect could cause the elimination of EVOH desirable planar morphology which in turn degenerated the blend’s barrier properties. It can be seen there is the semi-planar morphology in the sample without compatibilizer (sample 4). The other SEM Images (a and d) in Figure 1 show that increment of EVOH level from 10 to 30 wt% in the samples with the same amount of compatibilizer (5 wt%) leads to changing the droplet-like morphology of EVOH in the LDPE matrix to the planar morphology. The aforementioned result shows that the amount of compatibilizer must be fine-tuned based on the EVOH level in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>EVOH (wt%)</th>
<th>LDPE-g-MA (wt%)</th>
<th>LDPE (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
different samples. For example, the use of 5 wt% compatibilizer is adequate for the samples containing 30 wt% EVOH while the same weight percent will be surplus for the samples containing only 10 wt% of EVOH.

**Oxygen transfer rate**

OTR results for different samples are demonstrated in Table 2.

Figure 2 shows the OTR results for different samples.

It is obvious in Figure 2 that by increasing the EVOH level, oxygen permeation has reduced from 1400 cc/m².day.atm for neat LDPE to less than 100 cc/m².day.atm for the samples with 30 wt% EVOH. The superior barrier property of EVOH against oxygen penetration is the reason of this incident. According to tortuous path theory, the presence of EVOH layers results in
increased resistance of the final product to oxygen permeation [35, 36].

The compatibilizer can also slightly affect the penetration resistance. Based on Figures 1 and 2, an excessive amount of compatibilizer results in a droplet-like morphology of EVOH, in the LDPE matrix which is undesirable, since the lamellar morphology is the best for improving barrier properties. According to Figure 2, the addition of 10 wt% LDPE-g-MA causes a decline in the barrier properties to even lower than those for the specimen without compatibilizer at all weight percents of EVOH. Among different samples, sample 7 has the minimum oxygen penetration. So, maximum amount of EVOH and compatibilizer absence lead to the highest barrier properties for the samples. Although, the compatibilizer presence leads to deterioration of barrier properties, the effect of its presence on the mechanical properties must be considered. In the following the mechanical properties are investigated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>OTR (cc/m².day.atm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>585.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>678.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>249.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>285.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>363.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>51.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>84.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neat LDPE</td>
<td>1400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neat EVOH</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. OTR results for different samples.

Figure 2. OTR results for a) samples containing 10 wt% EVOH, b) samples containing 20 wt% EVOH, c) samples containing 30 wt% EVOH, d) samples containing 0 wt% LDPE-g-MA, e) samples containing 5 wt% LDPE-g-MA, and f) samples containing 10 wt% LDPE-g-MA.
because of their importance on the film formation process.

**Mechanical properties**

Table 3 demonstrates the mechanical properties (elongation-at-break, tensile strength and tensile modulus) for different samples.

Among tensile properties, elongation-at-break can be a good measure of compatibility evaluation. If the elongation-at-break value increases, preparation of the film becomes easier, therefore, higher elongation-at-break is preferable.

As predicted, the addition of EVOH declined the elongation-at-break (Table 3). EVOH is brittle in nature, and its introduction to the nanocomposite increases the elastic modulus while lowering the elongation-at-break as expected.

The addition of LDPE-g-MA to the blends can strengthen the interface bonding of EVOH and LDPE phases, leading to compatibilization of the phases and enhancing elongation-at-break. According to the achieved results, the higher elongation-at-break was found for the sample containing 10 wt% EVOH and 10 wt% LDPE-g-MA.

According to Table 3, the elastic modulus increases expectedly with the rise of EVOH level of the samples. When EVOH which is a brittle polymer with high elastic modulus is added to the LDPE matrix, the elastic properties of the final product, specially its elastic modulus, are enhanced based on the mixture law [37].

The presence of the compatibilizer also increases elastic modulus of the samples. Compatibilizer can enhance the interfacial tension of the blends which leads to the improved compatibility of the phases. This phenomenon increases elastic modulus by reducing the count of weak points and enhances mechanical properties and elastic modulus of the blends.

The obvious improvement of tensile strength with increasing EVOH content in the samples could be attributed to the high tensile strength of EVOH and explained based on the mixture law [37]. Furthermore, tensile strength highly is influenced by the interface of EVOH and LDPE phases. The introduction of LDPE-g-MA into the samples leads to formation of a stronger boundary because of more compatibility between two phases. This augmentation results in the enhanced tensile strength of specimens.

**Rheological behavior**

Figure 3 shows the storage modulus versus frequency for samples 2, 4, 6, 8, and neat LDPE.

Based on Figure 3, the addition of EVOH and the compatibilizer to the LDPE matrix has increased its storage modulus in comparison to that of neat LDPE. Since EVOH has a higher melting temperature and modulus compared to polyethylene, it can act as a solid object within the polyethylene matrix and rise the sample storage modulus. The addition of compatibilizer has the same effect due to improved dispersion of EVOH in the polyethylene matrix and strengthening the interface of EVOH and LDPE phases. The achieved results showed that the addition of 10 wt% EVOH and 5 wt% of the compatibilizer results in an almost equal growth in the storage modulus.

The graph of complex dynamic viscosity changes versus frequency for samples 2, 4, 6, 8, and neat LDPE are depicted in Figure 4.

The Carreau-Yasuda model was applied for more accurate study of changes in complex dynamic viscosity versus frequency in the low frequencies region of the graph, as follows:

\[
\eta = \eta_0 \left[1 + (\tau \omega)^\alpha \right]^{\frac{n-1}{\alpha}} \quad (1) [38]
\]

Where \( \eta \) is the zero shear rate viscosity (the zero shear rate viscosity is the limit of the apparent viscosity of a melt when the shear rate approaches zero), \( \eta_0 \) is the
relaxation time, \( n \) is the power-law index, and \( a \) is the width of the transition range between zero shear rate viscosity and power-law region. Table 4 displays these fitting parameters for different samples.

Based on Table 4, the addition of EVOH and the compatibilizer to the LDPE matrix as well as raising the level of these substances in the specimens increases the zero shear rate viscosity. For the samples without the compatibilizer, the addition of EVOH leads to the zero shear rate viscosity reduction. This increase in the viscosity at low frequencies could be attributed to the strong interaction between the compatibilizer functional groups and EVOH that increase the interfacial tension toward the formation of thermodynamically stabilized morphology [30]. However, in the samples without compatibilizer, this interaction will be diminished and leads to the zero shear rate viscosity reduction.

The relaxation time \( \tau \) shows the same style as the zero shear rate viscosity. An increase of relaxation time can be interpreted as an increase in the elasticity of the samples. In the discussion about the intersection point of \( G' \) and \( G'' \), this matter will be further investigated.

The intersection of storage and loss moduli graphs was studied to examine the elastic properties of the samples. It is well known that for creation of a final film, the elasticity and elastic properties of material are critical. Therefore, to further investigate the elastic properties of the samples, the intersection point of storage and loss moduli graphs was considered.

Figure 5 illustrates the frequency values at the intersection point of loss and storage moduli for various samples.

This point is recognized as the crossover modulus in which storage and loss moduli are equal. Where the storage modulus is greater than the loss modulus, polymer melt behavior is like a viscoelastic solid, however, with the increase of the loss modulus to greater values than that of storage modulus, polymer behavior becomes similar to a viscoelastic melt.

According to Figure 5, the addition of compatibilizer and EVOH as well as increasing their contents shifts the intersection point of loss and storage moduli to lower frequencies, which indicates an increase in the elastic behavior and relaxation time for the prepared nanocomposites. For the samples without the compatibilizer, the addition of EVOH leads to higher
intersection points. This phenomenon had also been seen in the modeling with using the Carreau-Yasuda model.

It has been reported that during film blowing process, the higher elasticity of polymer melt favors crystal orientation in the final samples. The films with oriented structures exhibit higher mechanical properties and lower oxygen permeability [39-41].

**CONCLUSION**

In this research, high oxygen-barrier films were organized based on LDPE/EVOH/LDPE-g-MA, in which LDPE-g-MA acted as a compatibilizer. The effects of EVOH (10–30 wt%) and LDPE-g-MA (0–10 wt%) loadings on the properties of final films were evaluated. The results concluded from this study are listed as follows:

- OTR results revealed that the addition of EVOH up to 30 wt% to neat LDPE could significantly de-

**Table 4.** Carreau-Yasuda parameters for different samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$\eta_0$ (kPa.s)</th>
<th>$\tau$ (s)</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.29</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neat LDPE</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neat EVOH</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5.** Frequency value at the intersection point of loss and storage moduli for a) sample 2, b) sample 4, c) sample 6, d) sample 8, and e) neat LDPE.
crease oxygen permeability. LDPE-g-MA which increased the permeability needed to be fine-tuned based on the EVOH level in different samples.

- Elastic modulus and tensile strength increased with the introduction of EVOH and LDPE-g-MA to the polyethylene matrix. On the other hand, elongation-at-break decreased with the addition of EVOH and increased with the introduction of compatibilizer to the samples.

- Incorporation of EVOH and LDPE-g-MA into the LDPE matrix and increasing the amount of these components in the samples led to higher storage modulus and zero shear rate viscosity, but, lowered the frequency at the intersection point of G' and G''. The only exception was that in the samples without compatibilizer, the increase of the EVOH loading resulted in a lower zero shear rate viscosity and a higher frequency value at the intersection point of G' and G''.
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