The role of 1-hexene comonomer content in thermal behavior of medium density polyethylene (MDPE) synthesized using Phillips catalyst
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ABSTRACT

In this work, the role of comonomer content of 1-hexene-medium density polyethylene (MDPE) copolymer, synthesized using Phillips catalyst, on thermal behavior parameters such as: crystallization, melting temperature and thermal degradation was investigated in detail. The copolymer was fractionated to homogenous short-chain branching (SCB) fractions by "preparative temperature rising elution fractionation" (P-TREF) method and then it was subjected to thermal analyses. A broad chemical composition distribution (CCD) in terms of SCB content and molecular weight ($M_w$) was observed by P-TREF and gel permeation chromatography (GPC), respectively. Based on P-TREF results, a parabolic relationship between methylene sequence length (MSL) and elution temperature (ET) was presented. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) showed distinct, well-defined melting peaks over a 22 °C temperature range for SCB contents of about 3-12 (br/1000 C). The variations in physical characteristics such as melting temperature ($T_m$), crystallinity ($X_c$), crystallization temperature ($T_c$) and lamellae thickness ($L_c$) against SCB content were correlated. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) suggested linear relationships between the temperature at maximum degradation rate ($T_{max}$) as well as the degradation initiation temperature ($T_{5\%}$) versus SCB content. Moreover, the TGA curves exhibited distinct differences at both initiation and propagation stages of thermal degradation at dissimilar comonomer contents.
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INTRODUCTION

Polyethylene (PE), the most important member of polyolefins family [1, 2], is frequently used for agricultural film purposes such as greenhouse, mulching, tunnel film, etc. [3]. The frequent use of PE is due to its convenient properties such as low cost, easy processability, excellent electrical insulation, chemical resistance, toughness, flexibility, transparency in thin films and freedom from odor and toxicity [4, 5]. Linear PEs are produced by the copolymerization of ethylene and α-olefins, e.g., 1-butene, 1-hexene and 1-octene, with either Ziegler–Natta (Z-N), metallocene, Phillips or other catalysts [6]. It is well known that heterogeneous Z–N catalysts polymerize ethylene/α-olefin copolymers with a broad chemical composition distribution (CCD) [7-9]. In contrast, homogeneous metallocenes are single-site catalysts which produce very uniform polymers with a narrow CCD [10-13]. However, there...
is hardly any report on the role of Phillips catalyst on the microstructure of ethylene/α-olefin copolymers [14-16].

Specifications of the catalyst, reactor processing technology and conditions of the manufacturing process mainly configure the microstructure of PEs. Resins of similar densities might exhibit significant differences in comonomer content and its distribution, at both the intermolecular and intramolecular levels [17]. Besides, molecular structure parameters including molecular weight (Mw) and molecular weight distribution (MWD), branching parameters including branch content, branch length, and branch distribution will normally determine the ultimate property of PE [18]. The SCB content and the short-chain branching distribution (SCBD) of an ethylene/α-olefin copolymer are key microstructural constraints that determine resin performance and applications [19-21].

There are many reports in the literature which investigate the relationship between structure and properties of PEs, e.g., the effect of chemical modification on crystallization [22], the role of branching structure on melting behavior [23] as well as melt flow index [24], the influence of branch length on mechanical properties [25], the effect of composition distribution on miscibility of the blends [26] and the dependency of thermorheological behavior on molecular structure [27]. Hosoda et al. have investigated a relationship between the lamellae thickness distribution and the mechanical properties of PE. They observed a higher impact strength at narrower lamella thickness distribution [28]. Also Nittaa and Tanaka have illustrated that both SCB content and molecular weight of ethylene-1-hexene polymers affect both the α and β relaxation peaks [29].

Different studies show that the CCD of polyethylenes has some important effects on thermal properties [30-33]. Stark and Lofgren have worked on DSC of metallocene type copolymers of ethylene with 1-oc- tene, 1-tetradecene and 1-octadecene. They suggested that the melting temperature is dropped by increasing the comonomer content [34]. Eynde et al. have reported that thermal behavior of copolymers change in a continuous way as the comonomer content is increased [35]. Zhang et al. have studied on melting and crystallization of "preparative temperature rising elution fractionation" (P-TREF) fractions of two different polymers. They proposed that the melting and crystallization temperatures and enthalpy of fusion (ΔHf) of the P-TREF fractions for a Z-N polymer are substantially decreased by comonomer content, while these properties are varied slightly for a metallocene polymer [36]. Ko YS et al. have stated that the physical properties of α-olefin copolymers are dependent on distribution of the comonomer as well as on the molecular weight [7]. Grieken et al. have accounted that by increasing the short branching coming from the incorporation of α-olefins of low melting points, crystallinity and density would consequently result in more flexible films which will be easier to process [10].

There are various research reports in the literature which have been focused on degradation of linear polyethylenes under different conditions such as ultraviolet (UV) irradiation [37]; extrusion [38]; thermo-oxidation [39, 40]; thermo [41]; artificial weathering [42] and environmental weathering [43]. They have to a large extent focused on the parameters of thermal degradation process, e.g., the effects of operational parameters [44-46] and catalyst parameters [47-50]. However, to the best of our knowledge there is hardly any study on the effect of "polymer architecture" on the thermal degradation behavior [51, 52]. Now the question would be if the comonomer content affects the thermal degradation behavior of polyethylene copolymers?

In our previous works the effect of molecular weight [44, 53, 54] as well as the effect of ethyl branch content [55] on thermal degradation of polyolefins was investigated in depth. In this study the effect of comonomer content on thermal behavior of ethylene/1-hexene copolymer based on Phillips catalyst is examined. To study accurately and to eliminate any other microstructural parameters, the ethylene/1-hexene copolymer (MDPE) was fractionated to homogenous SCB content fractions using P-TREF technique. The role of SCB content on crystallization, melting and especially thermal degradation of each fraction was investigated in order to find any functionality between the thermal behavior and the comonomer content of Phillips-based ethylene/1-hexene copolymer.
EXPERIMENTAL

Material
"Parent polymer" was an industrial grade of MDPE (MCH 3713, synthesized based on a special Philips catalyst, Aryasasol Petrochemical Company, Iran) which was an ethylene copolymer with 1-hexene as comonomer (Table 1).

Preparative temperature rising elution fractionation
The home-made P-TREF consisted of a cylindrical column 7 cm in diameter and 40 cm in height. This column was packed with seeds of an average diameter of 0.07 cm and submerged in an oil bath with a programmable thermostat that controlled the temperature of the bath. In each run a polymer solution with concentration of 1 wt% of parent polymer in xylene was introduced into the column and heated at 140°C for 2 h and then cooled to room temperature (30°C) slowly at a rate of -3°C/h to crystallize the parent polymer chains on the seeds. The bath temperature was subsequently increased and maintained for about 2 h at each elution temperature (ET) to elute the polymer chains of specific crystallizability completely by a stream of pure solvent (xylene). After dissolution of polymer chains, the fractions in solvent steam were treated with anti-solvent (acetone) to separate and precipitate the polymer fractions. After separation, the prepared fractions were tested by subsequent tests including DSC and TGA.

Structural analysis
Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Vertex 80, Bruker, Germany) was used to characterize the parent polymer structure. The attenuated total reflectance (ATR) spectra were recorded in the range of 4000–600 cm⁻¹, 100 scans each measurement with resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ using MCT detector.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX 500 spectrometer operating at 500.13 MHz for ¹H NMR. C₂H₂Cl₄ was employed as the solvent at 120°C. The spectra were referenced on the residual C₂HDCl₄ signal δ (¹H) = 5.98 ppm. The butyl branch content of parent polymer was calculated based on ¹H NMR signal integrals from the integral intensities of methyl protons which originated from hexyl comonomer (0.92 ppm) and of all methine and methylene protons (1.1 – 1.8 ppm) with estimated absolute error: ± 0.5 mol%.

Mₙ and MWD of the parent polymer and P-TREF fractions were determined by means of gel permeation chromatography (GPC, PL_GPC of Polymer Laboratories, Agilent, USA) using 1,2,4 trichlorobenzene (TCB) as solvent at separating column of 300×7.5 mm (2 PLgel_Olexies) with flow velocity of 1.0 mL/min at the temperature of 150 °C.

Low/high temperature thermal analyses
Thermograms of parent polymer and P-TREF fractions were assessed by a Mettler differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) (Toledo, Switzerland) under N₂ atmosphere, in which the samples were heated fast (200°C/min) to 180 °C and maintained at this temperature for 5 min to erase any thermal history, and then cooled to room temperature (30°C) at a rate of -10°C/min. This was immediately followed by a second heating run (10°C/min) in order to detect a complete melting behavior of the samples.

The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of parent polymer and P-TREF fractions was made using TGA Q 5000 of TA Instruments, under N₂ atmosphere. The fractions experienced an isothermal condition for 5 min at room temperature and then heated up with a ramp at 10°C/min to 600 °C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of parent polymer and fractions
The structural characterization of the parent polymer
was prepared by FTIR as shown in Figure 1. The C-H rocking, methyl stretching, C-H bending and stretching at 717, 1378, 1462, 2847 and 2914 cm\(^{-1}\) wave-numbers; respectively, gave indications of a common structure of PE [56]. Butyl branch content of the parent polymer was calculated based on \(^1\)H NMR and presented in Table 1.

Mw and MWD of the parent polymer and P-TREF fractions are shown in Figure 2 and a quantitative comparison of the results is reflected in Table 2. As it is noticed in Table 2, a broad MWD (≈7.3) for the parent polymer is obtained. Also, as it can be found in section of "Investigation on comonomer distribution", a broad short-chain branch distribution (SCBD≈1.2) has been calculated based on Eqn 6. Both of the obtained distributions are in a good agreement with previous research reports which propose a broad CCD for Philips based ethylene copolymers [14-16]. Also, as it can be seen in Table 2, in an agreement with the literature [57, 58], by rising the fraction temperature (lowering the SCB content), the molecular weight is increased except that for 60-70 fraction, though a narrow MWD can be more or less observed for the fractions.

**Investigation on comonomer distribution**

Figure 3 represents accumulative P-TREF profile of the parent polymer, which intonates a broad SCBD. Phillips catalysts cause heterogeneity in short-chain branched polyethylenes [14-16], this broad SCBD may be attributed to Phillips catalyst function during polymerization. Also, methylene sequence length (MSL) of the fractions is plotted versus elution temperature (ET) in Figure 3.

Zhang and Wanke have shown that MSL as a func-
tion of the melting temperature ($T_m$), in K can be estimated by a calibration correlation, using Eqn 1 [59]:

$$\text{MSL} = \frac{2}{\exp\left(\frac{142.2}{T_m} - 0.3451\right)} - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

As it may be studied in Figure 3, by rising ET the MSL of the fractions is increased from about 79, at the most branched fractions, up to around 360 at the most linear fractions. A parabolic relationship is yielded between MSL and ET with regression coefficient of $R^2 \approx 0.99$ which is valid in the range of $60^\circ C < ET < 110 ^\circ C$ and can be predicted by Eqn 2:

$$\text{MSL} = 0.24 \times (ET)^2 - 33.92 \times (ET) + 1281$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

Kakugo et al. have reported that ethylene content of different fractions of ethylene/1-hexene copolymer is increased by increase in elution temperature [60]. Adisson et al. have found that by increasing SCB content elution temperature is decreased for ethylene/1-hexene copolymer through a linear correlation [61].

By counting the carbon atoms and CH$_3$ groups for the ‘repeating unit’ in an ethylene/α-olefin molecule with the same methylene sequence length and neglecting the effect of end groups, the short-chain branch (SCB) content, per 1000 carbons, as a function of MSL can be estimated by Eqn 3 [59], where $i$ is the carbon number in the branch (e.g., for 1-hexene comonomer $i$ is 4).

$$\text{SCB} = \frac{1000}{\text{MSL} + 1 + 1}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

The number average short-chain branch content, $\overline{\text{SCB}}_n$, and weight average short-chain branch content, $\overline{\text{SCB}}_w$, might be calculated based on the first and second moments of the SCB distributions, similar to the number and weight average molecular weight distributions, according to Eqns 4 and 5:

$$\overline{\text{SCB}}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \times \text{SCB}_i$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$\overline{\text{SCB}}_w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{w_i \times \text{SCB}_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \times \text{SCB}_i} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where $w_i$ is the mass fraction of fraction i (obtained from P-TREF results); SCBi is the SCB content of fraction i (calculated based on Eqn 3) and $n$ is the number of fractions (here; $n=8$, section of "The role of comonomer content on melting temperature and crystallization behavior"). Using Eqns 4 and 5, and are yielded around 6.4 and 7.8, respectively. Also in a similar way for calculation of MWD, the SCBD can be calculated, using Eqn 6:

$$\text{SCBD} = \frac{\overline{\text{SCB}}_w}{\overline{\text{SCB}}_n}$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

The amount of SCBD has been calculated around 1.2. In comparison to the literature [59, 62], the obtained SCBD value can be assumed as a broad SCBD.

The role of comonomer content on melting temperature and crystallization behavior

DSC thermograms of the second heating cycle and the melting temperatures of P-TREF fractions are presented in Figure 4, while the detailed information is tabulated in Table 3. Due to small amount of some fractions, acquired at 30-40°C, 40-50°C, 50-60°C, 110-120°C and 120-140°C, the thermal analysis of the samples is limited to the fractions mentioned in Table 3. In Figure 4, a fine confirmation on distinctive separation of P-TREF fractions can be detected as complete segregated melting peaks.

Approximately a wide temperature range (~22°C) from ~111°C up to ~132°C for melting peaks is observed alongside different fractions due to heterogeneity in SCB content. A broad melting temperature range for 1-hexene-linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) and also a similar trend for variations in $T_m$ versus SCB content have been reported for ethylene/1-
hexene copolymer in the literature [61, 63].

Table 3 Detailed DSC data and the calculated parameters of P-TREF fractions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>$T_c$ ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>$T_m$ ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>SCB$^3$</th>
<th>$L_c$ (nm)</th>
<th>$X_c$ (%)</th>
<th>MSL$^6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60-70</td>
<td>100.2</td>
<td>110.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>78.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-75</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>113.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-80</td>
<td>104.9</td>
<td>116.3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-85</td>
<td>108.4</td>
<td>119.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>118.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85-90</td>
<td>110.9</td>
<td>122.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>137.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-95</td>
<td>111.1</td>
<td>125.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>167.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-100</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td>129.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>258.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-110</td>
<td>110.9</td>
<td>132.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>360.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-140 (parent polymer)</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>125.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>168.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1T_c$: Crystallization temperature.
$^2T_m$: Melting temperature.
$^3$SCB: Short chain branch content calculated by Eqns 1 and 3.
$^5$Xc: Crystallinity calculated by Eqn 9.
$^6$MSL: Methylene sequence length calculated by Eqn 1.

The Eqn 7 has small variations from Hosoda equation especially at SCB coefficient which determines the sensitivity of $T_m$ to SCB content [36]. In an agreement with the obtained results, Yoon et al. have worked on melting behavior of different ethylene/α-olefin copolymers synthesized by Z-N catalyst. They reported that the melting peak moves to a lower temperature regions as the density of the copolymers is decreased [64]. According to the Gibbs–Thomson equation (Eqn 8), it is possible to determine the lamellar thickness of different lamellae [24, 65-67]:

$$T_m = T_{0m} - \frac{1 - 2 \delta e}{\Delta H \times L_c}$$  

(8)

Where $T_m$ (K) is the observed melting point, $T_{0m}$ (K) is

the equilibrium melting point of an infinite polyethylene crystal (414.5 K), $\Delta H$ is the enthalpy of fusion per unit volume (288×106 J/m3), $\delta e$ is the surface energy of a polyethylene crystal (70×10-3 J/m2) and $L_c$ (nm) is the thickness of a lamellae with melting point of $T_m$ [28]. Also the crystallinity of each fraction can be calculated using Eqn 9:

$$X_c(\%) = \frac{\Delta H_f}{\Delta H_f^*} \times 100$$  

(9)

Where $\Delta H_f$ is the enthalpy of fusion of each fraction and $\Delta H_f^*$ is the enthalpy of fusion of 100% crystalline polyethylene (286 J/g) [68]. In Figure 5, SCB content and $T_m$ are plotted against $L_c$. Based on these observations, Eqn 10 for predicting the reduction of SCB content versus lamellae thickness, exponentially and Eqn 11 for estimating the increases in melting point against lamellae thickness, logarithmically are proposed. Pérez et al. have studied on branched polyethylenes and showed that peaks in the end of endotherm thermograms may be associated to lamellae crystals formed by the crystallization of longer methylene sequences [69]. Also, Zhang et al. have reported similar trends for variations in SCB content versus $L_c$ and also MSL against $L_c$ [36]. Nitta and Tanaka [29] have studied on the mechanical behavior of branched polyethylene with different SCB contents. They reported similar trend for reduction of $L_c$ with SCB content.

$$SCB = -6.7 \times \ln (L_c) + 26.2$$  

(10)

$$T_m = 16.1 \times \ln (L_c) + 80.3$$  

(11)
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Where SCB, $L_{c}$ and $T_c$ are calculated in br/1000 C, nm and °C, respectively.

In Figure 6, crystallization temperature ($T_c$) and crystallinity have been plotted versus SCB content. As it can be viewed, both the $T_c$ and $X_c$ are decreased by SCB content. But, the interesting point is a two-stage behavior. The Figure 6 can be divided into two sections: section (1): SCB < 7.0 and section (2): SCB > 7.0. In section (1) of Figure 6 a constant amount for $T_c$ and also a weak fluctuation around a constant value for $X_c$ can be observed, it means that the crystallization temperature for SCB contents lower than 7.0 is independent of branching content. But, in section (2) of Figure 6 a decreasing trend for both $X_c$ and $T_c$ is visible which can be presented by Eqns 12 and 13.

$$T_c = -2.2 \times \text{(SCB)} + 126.2 \quad (12)$$

$$X_c = -2.5 \times \text{(SCB)} + 68.9 \quad (13)$$

Where $T_c$, $X_c$ and SCB are considered in °C, % and br/1000 C.

The section (2) of Figure 6 is usually reported in previous studies. Paredes et al. have shown that by increasing the content of 1-hexene comonomer in ethylene/1-hexene copolymer made with different supported metallocene catalysts, crystallization temperature and crystallinity of copolymers are decreased [70]. Assumption et al. have reported that by increasing the elution temperature of ethylene/1-hexene fractions the crystallinity is enhanced [71]. Through an increase in the number of short-chain branches via the incorporation of α-olefin comonomers such as 1-butene, 1-hexene, and 1-octene, the polymer crystallinity and density can be reduced [72, 73].

By comparing the increasing trend of $T_m$ of all the fractions (Figure 4) and also the constant values for $T_c$ in the section (1) of Figure 6, a question is suggested that why the fractions with SCB contents lower than 7.0, show about 10 °C difference in melting temperatures while, they have very close crystallization temperatures? It should be mentioned that the MSL affects the crystallinity when the maximum MSL is less than the "critical value for the onset of chain folding", $L_{crit}$ [59]. This means that at high SCB contents where MSL< $L_{crit}$, the MSL determines the crystallizability of a polymer chain at given crystallization conditions. It is likely that at high SCB contents the crystallization temperature as well as the crystallinity is adjusted by the MSL or SCB content. But, at low SCB contents where MSL > $L_{crit}$, the crystallizability of a polymer chain at given crystallization conditions would be independent of MSL or SCB content. Although $L_{crit}$ is reported around 250 carbons in the literature [74-76] but, it should be noted that these works have estimated $L_{crit}$ using some linear paraffinic oligomeric calibrants such as $C_{104}H_{210}$ or uniform alkanes such as $C_{246}H_{494}$ or $C_{198}H_{398}$. Therefore, for a "polymeric" structure containing "butyl branches", the $L_{crit}$ might be different. Based on the results obtained here, the $L_{crit}$ for the parent polymer at the crystallization condition used here is estimated around 137 carbons (the corresponding MSL of SCB content of 7.0). Where at MSLs lower than 137 carbons, the Tc is controlled by SCB content, while at higher MSLs than 137 carbons $T_c$ is independent of SCB content. On the other hand, melting temperature maintains its increasing trend for all fractions due to higher motivation of more linear chains.

**Thermal degradation behavior: dependency on 1-hexene content**

TGA/differential thermogravimetric (DTG) analysis was carried out on the parent polymer and the P-TREF fractions. The thermogravimetric data are provided in Table 4 and TGA/DTG curves are depicted in Figure 7. In order to assess the repeatability of DSC measurements, the fraction 75-80 had been consumed before TGA/DTG, and therefore, the other fractions were...
tested here (Table 4).

Figures 7(a) and (b) illustrate the onset of degra-
dation, at the temperatures of around 60°C to about 460°C, known here as "degradation initiation stage". In degradation initiation stage dissimilar behaviors are observed for different P-TREF fractions. Also, at the temperature range of around 420°C to about 520°C, a "degradation propagation stage" appears. The differences between mass loss and mass derivative curves in Figures 7 (c) and (d)) can be easily detected. As it is reflected in Table 4, by decreasing SCB content from ~12 (br/1000 C) (for fraction of 60-70°C) to ~3 (br/1000 C) (for fraction of 100-110°C) degradation initiation temperature ($T_{5\%}$) and temperature at maximum degradation rate ($T_{\text{max}}$) are increased moderately from ~ 426°C to ~ 457°C (3.4°C/SCB) and from ~ 487°C to ~ 490°C (0.4°C/SCB), respectively. It means that by decreasing each single SCB per 1000 carbon in the polymer backbone there would appear about 3.4°C incremental shift at $T_{5\%}$. This incremental shift changes to 0.4°C by decreasing each about single SCB per 1000 carbons in the polymer backbone at $T_{\text{max}}$. Based on these results, it is clearly confirmed that comono-

Table 4. TGA/DTG data of the parent polymer and the P-TREF fractions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>$T_{5%}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_{95%}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_{95%}-T_{5%}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{max}}$ (°C)</th>
<th>SCB (br/1000 C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60-70</td>
<td>426.1</td>
<td>499.1</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>486.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-75</td>
<td>440.6</td>
<td>497.6</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>485.4</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-85</td>
<td>446.8</td>
<td>499.0</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>487.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85-90</td>
<td>448.8</td>
<td>499.1</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>487.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-95</td>
<td>453.8</td>
<td>500.8</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>490.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95-100</td>
<td>439.4</td>
<td>500.4</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>488.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-110</td>
<td>457.1</td>
<td>501.1</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>490.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-140 (parent polymer)</td>
<td>451.9</td>
<td>499.2</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>488.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 $T_{5\%}$: Temperature at 5% mass loss (degradation initiation temperature).
2 $T_{95\%}$: Temperature at 95% mass loss (degradation termination temperature).
3 $T_{95\%}-T_{5\%}$: Considered as width of DTG peak.
4 $T_{\text{max}}$: Temperature at maximum degradation rate.
5 SCB: Short-chain branch content.

Figure 7. TGA and DTG curves of P-TREF fractions. An adapted expanded scale is used for each fraction in order to compare degradation peak shapes more accurately: (a) TGA curves of initiation stage (60-460°C), (b) DTG curves of initiation stage (60-460°C), (c) TGA curves of propagation stage (420-520°C) and (d) DTG curves of propagation stage (420-520°C).
mer content affects thermal degradation behavior of ethylene/1-hexene copolymer, with respect to $T_{5\%}$ and $T_{\text{max}}$. Also it is found that the $T_{5\%}$ is about 8.5 times more sensitive to SCB content than $T_{\text{max}}$. Gauthier et al. have shown that MSL affects the thermo-oxidative degradation of polyethylene films [68]. As a first effort to formulate a relationship between SCB content and $T_{\text{max}}$ as well as $T_{5\%}$, Eqns 14 and 15 (Figures 8a and 8b) can be obtained using data in Table 4.

$$T_{\text{max}} = -0.46 \times \text{(SCB)} + 491.2$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$T_{5\%} = -2.14 \times \text{(SCB)} + 460.1$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Similar values have been obtained for degradation termination temperature ($T_{95\%}$), as it is considered in Table 4. On the other hand the $T_{5\%}$ is decreased by SCB content. Therefore, it can be concluded that the width of DTG peak ($T_{95\%}-T_{5\%}$) is broadened by SCB content. For this reason the DTG peaks show a tail in the left side as it is visible in Figure 7(d).

CONCLUSION

The effect of comonomer content on thermal behavior (crystallization, melting and degradation) of an ethylene/1-hexene copolymer has been investigated in detail and the following findings obtained:

- A 22°C difference in $T_m$ has been found for fractions which differ by 9 (br/1000 C) in SCB content.
- Different relationships between SCB content and physical characteristics ($T_m$, $T_c$, $X_c$ and $L_c$) have been found for Phillips-based ethylene/1-hexene copolymer.
- For the first time two relationships have been obtained between thermal degradation parameters ($T_{\text{max}}$ and $T_{5\%}$) and SCB content.
- The degradation parameters, e.g., $T_{5\%}$ and $T_{\text{max}}$ have been observed to be decreased by comonomer content of ethylene/1-hexene copolymer.

Based on these findings it is recommended to investigate the role of other branching parameters, such as short-chain branch distribution (intermolecular and intramolecular), or short-chain branch length on low and high temperature thermal behavior of ethylene/1-hexene copolymer.
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